

Review Process for Submitted papers of ICAIAC 2026

The *ICAIAC 2026* follows a rigorous and transparent peer-review process to ensure the quality, originality and relevance of all the accepted contributions. The process is aimed to maintain academic integrity and provide constructive feedback to authors.

1. Submission Screening

- All submissions are first checked for compliance with formatting guidelines and scope of the conference.
- Originality of the work is verified using plagiarism and AI detection tools before review assignment.

2. Reviewer Assignment

- Each paper is assigned to at least **two to three independent reviewers** who are experts in the relevant domain.
- Reviewers are selected based on subject specialization, prior experience and absence of conflicts of interest.

3. Blind Review

- The conference adopts a **blind review policy**:
 - Authors' identities are withheld from reviewers.
 - Reviewers' identities are withheld from authors.
- This ensures impartial evaluation based purely on academic merit.

4. Evaluation Criteria

Submissions are evaluated on the following parameters:

- **Originality**: Novelty of the research contribution.
- **Technical Quality**: Validity of methodology, analysis and results.
- **Clarity**: Quality of writing, structure and presentation.
- **Relevance**: Alignment with conference themes and future implications.
- **References**: Proper citation and acknowledgment of prior work.

5. Review Outcomes

- Reviewers provide detailed comments and recommendations: *Accept*, *Minor Revision*, *Major Revision*, or *Reject*.
- Authors receive constructive feedback on how to improve their work.
- Revised submissions are re-evaluated before final decision.

6. Final Decision

- The **Program Committee** integrates reviewer feedback and makes final acceptance decisions.
- Decisions are communicated to authors within the stipulated timeframe.
- Accepted papers are scheduled for presentation and inclusion in the official proceedings.

7. Appeals and Complaints

- Authors may appeal decisions if they believe the review process was unfair.
- Appeals are handled by the **Conference Ethics and Review Committee**, ensuring transparency and accountability.

8. Post-Conference Publication

- Accepted and presented papers will be published in the official proceedings.
- Selected high-quality papers may be invited for extended versions in partner journals or edited volumes.